Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/937,300	TOKUNAGA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1753
All Participants:	Status of Application: first action on the merits	
(1) ALEX NOGUEROLA.	(3)	
(2) <u>Nils Pedersen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 June 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: all pending claims		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Mr. Pedersen elected the invention of Group I, claims 1-8, with		AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a wr record of the substance of the	ne interview, since the interview
		•
•		
ally Noenerala		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)